

REMARKS

New Claims 24-49 find basis in the specification as filed, in the original claims, and in previously presented Claims 12-23. See also specification pages 34-36 regarding organic EL elements and organic EL emitting layers. No new matter has been entered.

REMARKS

Applicants appreciate the non-final status of the present Office Action, and the acknowledgement of the IDS>List of Related Cases filed on April 18, 2003.


The present invention relates generally to radiation sensitive compositions and their uses in organic EL display elements. As noted by the above amendments, Applicants have added organic EL display characteristics to the claims – both element and method claims – in view of the Examiner's comment that such limitations in the previous claims were not given patentable weight. While Applicants disagree with the position of the Examiner with regard to the previously pending claims, the point is now moot.

None of the applied references disclose or suggest the invention as now claimed because none of the references relate to an organic EL display element. As noted in *In re Ochiai*, 37 USPQ2d 1127, *all* limitations present in the claims must be considered in the Section 103 obviousness analysis, and the prior art applied here clearly lacks the elements of the pending claims related to organic EL displays. Applicants thus respectfully request the

reconsideration and withdrawal of the outstanding rejections over these references, and the
passage of this case to Issue.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.



Richard L. Treanor
Attorney of Record
Registration No. 36,379



22850

Tel.: (703) 413-3000
Fax: (703) 413-2220
RLT/smi